

1 LESLIE N. HARVEY, State Bar No. 241203
2 HELLER EHRLMAN LLP
3 333 Bush Street
4 San Francisco, California 94104-2878
5 Telephone: (415) 772-6000
6 Facsimile: (415) 772-6268
7 Email: Leslie.Harvey@hellerehrman.com

5 Attorneys for Defendant
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

10 LAURIE MARIE LASKEY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 | v.

13 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, and DOES 1
through 1000, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-08-1465-JCS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT MICROSOFT
CORPORATION'S MOTION FOR
A MORE DEFINITE
STATEMENT**

The motion of Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) for a more definite statement of plaintiff Laurie Marie Laskey’s (“Laskey”) Complaint came on for hearing on May 2, 2008, in the above-captioned court. Microsoft was represented by counsel.

After full consideration of the evidence and points and authorities submitted by the parties and oral argument of counsel, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court finds that Laskey's Complaint (including each and every claim stated therein) is so ambiguous that defendant Microsoft cannot reasonably be required to respond. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e).

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS plaintiff Laskey to amend the Complaint. The Complaint must (1) state which facts are applicable to each defendant on an individual basis (rather than refer to defendants generally); (2) allege facts rather than present questions; and (3) allege a factual basis for the damages theories set forth in the Complaint. Unless Laskey files an amended Complaint within 10 days, the action shall be dismissed.

SO ORDERED.

, 2008

Magistrate Judge Joseph Spero